

From: XXXXXXXXX

Sent: Tuesday, March 2, 2021 5:25 PM

To: Regen, Licensing <Licensing.Regen@southwark.gov.uk>

Subject: RE: Objection to license application - 874174, 315 Camberwell Road, SE5 - on grounds of public safety and fire safety AND noise

Further, I object because the notice on the front door does not match the plan.

It states that the application is to sell alcohol only not to run a small café / restaurant as per the application plan. It is highly misleading and does not bode well alongside the locked rear fire exit for neighbourliness and avoidance of causing safety concerns.

I think the plan would be to have a rear yard and sit outside which would be noisy for the residents upstairs.

Yours

XXXXXX

From: XXXXXXXX

Sent: 02 March 2021 17:19

To: licensing@southwark.gov.uk

Subject: Objection to license application - 874174, 315 Camberwell Road, SE5 - on grounds of public safety and fire safety

Dear Licensing

I object on the grounds of public safety and fire safety to the premises licence application no 874174 from the following:

MI LLAJTA SABORES DE BOLIVIA
315 Camberwell Road
SE5 0HQ

XXXXXX is a 33 year old XXXXX supporting people aged 50 and over. We provide a wide range of activities from computers to advice and other activities at the Centre.

We occupy XXXXXXXX. We share XXXXXXXXXX.

There are three entrances / exits into the building. Two upstairs on the same level on the ground floor about 10m apart and the third through the basement door, up the rear stairs and out through the backdoor gate into the shared rear communal passage which is our rear fire exit.

Our activities take place on XXXXXXXX. Access to the passage is through what was until three weeks ago a metal gate without a lock.

I noticed that workmen were clearing the rear exit about three weeks ago. My colleague subsequently informed me that someone had put a lock on the metal gate. I approached workmen at the property who told me the owner had put it on. I explained the situation and asked them to get the owner to remove the mortice lock.

They said they would just give me a key and I explained that this was not acceptable in terms of fire safety and the only solution for a shared rear fire door like this was to alter it to a door that could safely keep unauthorised people out and could be opened from the inside with either a panic bar or a snib lock. The passageway would also require other alterations to prevent intruders if such a solution were to be installed. They said they would let the owner know.

A week later it was still locked with no approach from the owner.

I spoke to another set of workmen last week and left a note for the owner to ring me and he has not done so. As at 01.03.2021, the gate is still locked with a mortice lock and it breaches fire safety and public safety regulations posing a severe risk to occupants of the Centre should they need to escape in the event of fire.

Further, while I am pleased that the owner has cleared the rear passage, I am worried that the purpose of clearing it is to allow a small café type experience in the building and in the yard.

This would be entirely unsuitable as the buildings above 305 - 315 are all residential flats with shop units on the ground floor – an estate agent, barbers and us. Nollywood is on the corner and has suitable noise reduction methods and security in place.

The owner has already displayed a disregard for public safety and fire safety and I confirm our objection to his application for the premises licence on this basis.

Yours

XXXXXXX

Director

XXXXXXXXXX